Initial G&T Specialty Set Literature 2013

Preparation Standard 1 Learner Development and Individual Learning Differences

Beginning gifted education professionals understand the variations in learning and development in cognitive and affective areas between and among individuals with gifts and talents and apply this understanding to provide meaningful and challenging learning experiences for individuals with exceptionalities.

1.1	Beginning gifted education professionals understand how language, culture, economic status, family background, and/or area of disability can influence the learning of individuals with exceptionalities.

Research-based References
Dunn, R., Griggs, S. A., & Price, G. E. (1993). The learning styles of gifted and talented adolescents in the United States. In R. Dunn, R. Milgram & G. E. Price (Eds.), *Teaching and counseling gifted and talented adolescents: An international learning style perspective.* (pp.119-136). Westport, CT: Praeger Publishers.
Johnson, N. (1994). Understanding gifted underachievers in an ethnically diverse population. (ERIC Document Reproduction Services No. ED368101)
Melear, C. T., & Alcock, M. W. (1999). Learning styles and personality types of African American children: Implications for science education. *Journal of Psychological Type, 48*, 22-33.
Pyryt, M.C., Sandals, L.H., & Begoray, J. (1998). Learning style preferences of gifted, average- ability, and special needs students: A multivariate perspective. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education, 13,* 71-76.
Sak, U. (2004). A synthesis of research on psychological types of gifted adolescents. *Journal of Secondary Gifted Education, 15*, 70-79.
Sternberg, R.J., Torff, B., Grigorenko, E. L.(1998). Teaching triarchically improves school achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90*, 374-384.

Theory-based References
Barkan, J. H., & Bernal, E.M. (1991), Gifted education for bilingual and limited English proficient students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 35*, 144-147.
Milgram, R. M., Dunn, R., & Price, G. E. (1992). Teaching and counseling gifted and talented adolescents: An international learning style perspective. Westport, CT: Praeger Publishing.
Rogers, K.B. (2002). What else do you need to know about your gifted child? In *Re-Forming gifted education* (pp. 47-72). Scottsdale, AZ. Great Potential Press.
Winzer, M.A., & Mazurek, K. (1998). *Special education in multicultural contexts*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Practice-based References
Renzulli, J.S., & Richards, S. (2000*). Addressing the needs of gifted middle school students. Practitioners' guide A0023.* (ERIC Document Reproduction Services No. ED456574).
Subotnik, R.F., & Coleman, L. (1996). Establishing the foundations for a talent development school: Applying principles to creating an ideal. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 20,* 175-189.

1.2	Beginning gifted education professionals use understanding of development and individual differences to respond to the needs of individuals with gifts and talents.

Research-based References

Ablard, K. E., & Tissot, S. L. (1998). Young students' readiness for advanced math: Precocious abstract reasoning. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 21*, 206-223.

Carter, K. R., & Ormrod, J. E. (1982). Acquisition of formal operations by intellectually gifted children. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 26*, 110-115.

Cross, T. L., & Coleman, L. J. (1993). The social cognition of gifted adolescents: An exploration of the stigma of giftedness paradigm. *Roeper Review, 16*, 37-40.

Diaz, E. I. (1998). Perceived factors influencing the academic underachievement of talented students of Puerto Rican descent. *Gifted Child Quarterly 42*, 105-122.

Ford, D. Y., & Harris III, J. (1997). A study of the racial identity and achievement of Black males and females. *Roeper Review, 20*, 105-110.

Grantham, T., & Ford, D. (1998). A case study of the social needs of Danisha: An underachieving gifted African-American female. *Roeper Review, 21*, 96-101.

Hyde, J. S., Fennema, E., Ryan, M., Frost, L. A., & Hopp, C. (1990). Gender comparisons of mathematics attitudes and affect. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 14*, 299-314.

Lupkowski-Shoplik, A. E., & Assouline, S. G. (1994). Evidence of extreme mathematical precocity: Case studies of talented youths. *Roeper Review, 16*, 144-151.

Theory-based References

Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Wolfe, R. (2000). New conceptions and research approaches to creativity: Implications of a systems perspective for creativity in education. In K. A. Heller, F. J. Mönks, & A. Harry Passow (Eds.), *International Handbook of Research and Development of Giftedness and Talent* (pp. 81-93). New York, NY: Pergamon.

Dai, D. Y., Moon, S. M., & Feldhusen, J. F. (1998). Achievement motivation and gifted students: A social cognitive perspective. *Educational Psychologist, 33*(2/3), 45-63.

Ericcson, K. A., & Charness, N. (1994). Expert performance: Its structure and acquisition. American Psychologist, 49, 725-747.

Feldman, D. H. (2003). A developmental, evolutionary perspective on giftedness. In J. H. Borland (Ed.), *Rethinking gifted education* (pp. 9-33). New York, NY: Teacher's College Press.

Ford, D. Y., Moore III, J. L., Milner, H. R. (2005). Beyond culture blindness: A model of culture with implications for gifted education*, Roeper Review, 27*, 97-103.

Gross, M. U. M. (1993). The 'me' behind the mask: Intellectually gifted students and the search for identity. *Roeper Review, 20*, 167-174.

Plucker, J. A., Robinson, N. M, Greenspon, T. S., Feldhusen, J. F., McCoach, D. B., & Subotnik, R. F. (2004). It's not how the pond makes you feel, but rather how high you can jump. *American Psychologist, 59*(4), 168-269.

Nisbett, R. (2003). The geography of thought: How Asians and Westerners think differently . . . and why. New York, NY: Free Press.

Shade, B. J. (Ed.). (1997). Culture, style, and the educative process: Making schools work for racially diverse students (2nd ed.). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.

Siegle, D., & Reis, S. M. (1998). Gender differences in teacher and student perceptions of gifted students' ability and effort. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*, 39-47.

Silverman, L. K. (1997). The construct of asynchronous development. *Peabody Journal of Education, 72*(3&4), 36-58.

Practice-based References
Harmon, D. (2002). They won't teach me: The voices of gifted African American inner-city students. *Roeper Review, 24*, 68-75.
Hébert, T. P. (1991). Meeting the affective needs of bright boys through bibliotherapy. *Roeper Review, 13*, 207-212.
Kanevsky, L., & Keighley, T. (2003). To produce or not to produce? Understanding boredom and the honor in underachievement. *Roeper Review, 26,* 20-28.
Kitano, M. K., & Pedersen, K. S. (2002a). Action research and practical inquiry: Multicultural content integration in gifted education: Lessons from the field. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 25*(3), 269-289.
Shade, B. J., Kelly, C., & Oberg, M. (1997). *Creating culturally responsive classrooms.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
Swiatek, M. A. (1993). A decade of longitudinal research on academic acceleration through the study of mathematically precocious youth. *Roeper Review, 15*, 120-123.

Preparation Standard 2 Learning Environments

Beginning gifted education professionals create safe, inclusive, and culturally responsive learning environments so that individuals with gifts and talents become effective learners and develop social and emotional well-being.

2.1	Beginning gifted education professionals create safe, inclusive, culturally responsive learning environments that engage individuals with gifts and talents in meaningful and rigorous learning activities and social interactions.

Research-based References
Albert,R., & Runco, M. (1989). Independence and the creative potential of gifted and exceptionally gifted boys. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *18,* 221-230.
den Brok, P., Levy, J., Rodriguez, R., & Wubbels, T. (2002). Perceptions of Asian-American and Hispanic-American teachers and their students on teacher interpersonal communication style. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *18*, 447-467.
Dunn, R. (1990). Grouping students for instruction: Effects of learning style on achievement and attitudes. *Journal of Social Psychology*. *130*, 485-94.
Gonzalez, V. (2005). Cultural, linguistic, and socioeconomic factors influencing monolingual and bilingual children's cognitive development. In V. Gonzalez, & J. Tinajero, (Eds.), *Review of research and practice, Vol 3.* (pp. 67-104). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
Kulik, J.A., & Kulik, C.C. (1992). Meta-analytic findings on grouping programs. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 36,* 73-77.

Theory-based References
Banks, J.A., & Banks, C.M. (Eds.). (2004*). Handbook of research on multicultural education* (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
Bernal, E. (2003). To no longer educate the gifted: Programming for gifted students beyond the era of inclusionism. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 47*, 183-191.
Bernal, E. (2002). Three ways to achieve a more equitable representation of culturally and linguistically different students in GT programs. *Roeper Review, 24,* 82-88*.*
Christophersen, E., & Mortweet, S. (2003). Encouraging independent play skills. In R. Christophersen & S. Mortweet (Eds.), *Parenting that works: Building skills that last a*

lifetime (pp. 195-205). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Ford, D. & Harris, J. (2000). A framework for infusing multicultural curriculum into gifted education. *Roeper Review, 23,* 4-10.

Ford, D.Y, & Trotman, M.F.(2001). Teachers of gifted students: Suggested multicultural characteristics and competencies. *Roeper Review, 23,* 235-239.

Gay, G. (2002). Preparing for culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of Teacher Education*, *53*, 106-116.

Grant, B. & Piechowski, M. (1999). Theories and the good: toward child-centered gifted education. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 43*, 4-12.

Grybe, D. (1997). Mentoring the gifted and talented. *Preventing School Failure*, *41*, 115.

Johnsen, S., & Goree, K. (2005). Teaching gifted students through independent study. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.), *Methods and materials for teaching the gifted and talented* (pp.379-408). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Soto, L. D., Smrekar, J. L. & Nekcovei, D.L. (1999). Preserving home languages and cultures in the classroom: Challenges and opportunities. *Directions in Language and Education, 13.*

Practice-based References

Bishop, K. (2000). The research process of gifted students: A case study. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *2000, 44,* 54-64.

Cross, T., Stewart, R.A., & Coleman, L. (2003). Phenomenology and its implications for gifted studies research: Investigating the Lebenswelt of academically gifted students attending an elementary magnet school. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 26*, 201-220.

Ford, D., Tyson, C. ,Howard, T., & Harris, J. J. (2000). Multicultural literature and gifted black students: promoting self-understanding, Awareness, and pride. *Roeper Review, 22,* 235-240.

Hughes, L. (1999). Action research and practical inquiry: How can I meet the needs of the high-ability student within my regular education classroom? *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 22*, 282-297.

Mackin, J. (1995). The science of a team approach: Coaching gifted and talented students to work cooperatively in completing scientific research. *Gifted Child Today, 18,* 14-17, 42.

Poelzer, G. H., & Feldhusen, J. F. (1997). The international baccalaureate: A program for gifted secondary students. *Roeper Review, 19,* 168-171.

Robbins, R., Tonemah, S., & Robbins, S., (2002). Project Eagle: Techniques for multi-family psycho-educational group therapy with gifted American Indian adolescents and their parents. *American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research, 10,* 56-74.

Roeper, A. (1992). Global awareness and the young child. *Roeper Review, 15,* 52-53.

Schillereff, M. (2001). Using inquiry-based science to help gifted students become more self-directed. *Primary Voices K-6, 10*, 28-32.

Terry, A. W. (2000). An early glimpse: Service learning from an adolescent perspective. *The Journal of Secondary Gifted Education, 11*, 115-135.

Tookey, M. E. (1999/2000). The international baccalaureate. *The Journal of Secondary Gifted Education, 11*, 52-66.

Wolfgang, A. (1991). Intercultural training of teachers and counselors for the year 2000. *Gifted International, 7*(1), 33-36.

2.2	Beginning gifted education professionals use communication and motivational and instructional interventions to facilitate understanding of subject matter and to teach individuals with gifts and talents how to adapt to different environments and develop leadership skills.

Research-based References

Bain, S., & Bell, S. (2004) Social self-concept, social-attributions, and peer relationships in fourth, fifth, and sixth graders who are gifted compared to high achievers. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 48,* 167-178

Cameron, P. (1995). The social context and developmental patterns of crystallizing experiences among academically talented youth. *Roeper Review, 17, 197-200.*

Colangelo, N., Assouline, S. & Gross, M. (2004). *A nation deceived: How schools hold back America's brightest students,* Volume II. Iowa City: University of Iowa.

Karnes, F. (1995). Perceptions of leadership held by young females. *Journal of Secondary Gifted Education, 6,* 113-119.

Smyth, E., & Ross, J. (1999). Developing leadership skills of pre-adolescent gifted learners in small group settings. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 43,* 204-211.

Theory-based References

Brody, L. (1999). The talent searches: Counseling and mentoring activities. In N. Colangelo & S. Assouline (Eds.), *Talent development III, Proceedings from The 1995 Henry B. and Jocelyn Wallace national research symposium on talent development* (pp.153-157). Scottsdale, AZ: Great Potential Press.

Pleiss, M. K., & Feldhusen, J. F. (1995). Mentors, role models and heroes in the lives of gifted children. *Educational Psychologist, 30*, 159-169.

Torrance, E. P. (1984). *Mentor relationships: How they aid creative achievement, endure, change and die.* Buffalo, NY: Bearly Limited.

Practice-based References

Feldhusen, J. F., & Kennedy, D. M. (1988). Preparing gifted youth for leadership roles in a rapidly changing society. *Roeper Review, 10*, 226-230.

Hensel, N. H. (1991). Social leadership skills in young children. *Roeper Review, 14*, 4-6.

Johnson, K. (2000). Affective component in the education of the gifted. *Gifted Child Today, 23,* 36-40.

Merriman, J. (1999). Leadership conference. *Gifted Child Today, 22,* 18-27.

Ross, J., & Smyth, E. (1995). Differentiating cooperative learning to meet the needs of gifted learners: A case for transformational leadership. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19,* 63-82.

2.3	Beginning gifted education professionals adjust their communication to an individual's language proficiency and cultural and linguistic differences

Research-based References

Castillo, L. C. (1998). The effect of analogy instruction on young children's metaphor comprehension. *Roeper Review, 21,* 27-31.

Kitano, M. K., & Pedersen, K. S. (20020). Action research and practical inquiry: Teaching gifted English learners. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 26* (2), 132- 147.

Meador, K. S. (1994). The effect of synectics training on gifted and nongifted kindergarten

students. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 18*, 55-73.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Johnson, D. T., Hughes, C. E., & Boyce, L. N. (1996). A study of language arts curriculum effectiveness with gifted learners. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19,* 461-480.

Van Tassel-Baska, J., Zuo, L., Avery, L. D., & Little, C. A. (2002). Curriculum study of gifted-student learning in the language arts. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 46*, 30-44.

Theory-based References

Hébert, T. P. (1991). Meeting the affective needs of bright boys through bibliotherapy. *Roeper Review, 13*, 207-212.

Kolloff, P. B. (1996). Gifted girls and the humanities. *The Journal for Secondary Gifted Education, 7*, 486-492.

Little, C. (2002). Reasoning as a key component of language arts curricula. *Journal of Secondary Gifted Education, 13*(2), 52-59

Marquez, J. A., & Sawyer, C. B. (1994). Curriculum Extension for the Gifted and Talented Student with Limited English Proficiency. In L. M. Malave (Ed*.), Proceedings from the National Association for Bilingual Education Annual Conference Journal.* Washington, DC: National Association for Bilingual Education.

Practice-based References

Bermudez, A. B., Rakow, S. J., Marquez, J. M., Sawyer, C., & Ryan, C. (1993). Meeting the Needs of the Gifted and Talented Limited English Proficient Student: The UHCL Prototype. In L. M. Malave (Ed*.), Proceedings from the National Association for Bilingual Education Annual Conference Journal* (pp 115-133). Washington, DC: National Association for Bilingual Education.

Bisland, A. (2003). Student-created public relations for gifted education. *Gifted Child Today, 26*(2), 60-65.

Choi, E. Y. (1998). Through another's eyes: Student fear number one. *Gifted Child Today, 21*(4), 30-31, 48.

Riley, T. L., & Brown, M. E. (1998). The magic of multimedia: Creating leaders of yesterday, today, and tomorrow. *Gifted Child Today, 21*(5), 20-22, 24-26.

Ring, L. M. (2000). The T in art is for thinking. *Gifted Child Today*, 23(3), 36-45, 53.

Schack, G. D. (1988). Experts-in-a-book: Using how-to books to teach the methodologies of practicing professionals. *Roeper Review, 10*, 147-150.

2.4	Beginning gifted education professionals demonstrate understanding of the multiple environments that are part of a continuum of services for individuals with gifts and talents, including the advantages and disadvantages of various settings.

Research-based References

Ablard, K. E., Mills, C. J., & Duvall, R. (1994). *Acceleration of CTY math and science students* (Tech. Rep. No. 10). Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University, Center for Talented Youth.

Colangeo, N., Assouline, S. G., & Gross, M.U.M. (2004). *A nation deceived: How schools hold back America's brightest students.* Iowa City: The University of Iowa.

Davalos, R. A., & Haensly, P. A. (1997). After the dust has settled: Youth reflect on their high school mentored research experience. *Roeper Review, 19*, 204-207.

Gentry, M., Rizza, M. G., & Owen, S. V. (2002). Examining perceptions of challenges and choice in classrooms: The relationship between teachers and their students and

comparisons between gifted students and other students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 46,* 145-155.

Hébert, T. P. (1993). Reflections at graduation: The long-term impact of elementary school experiences in creative productivity. *Roeper Review*, *16,* 22-28

Kulik, J. A., & Kulik, C. C. (1992). Meta-analytic findings on grouping programs. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 36,* 73-77.

Lubinski, D., & Benbow, C.P. (1995). The study of mathematically precocious youth: The first three decades of a planned 50-year study of intellectual talent. In R. F. Subotnik, & K.D. Arnold (Eds.), *Beyond Terman: Contemporary longitudinal studies of giftedness and talent* (pp. 255-289*).* Norwood, NJ: Ablex.

Moon, S. M., Feldhusen, J. F., & Dillon, D. R. (1994). Long-term effect of an enrichment program based on the Purdue Three-Stage Model. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 38,* 38-48.

Olszewski-Kubilius, P., & Lee, S-Y. (2004). Gifted adolescents' talent development through distance learning. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 28,* 7-35

Theory-based References

Renzulli, J. (1992) A general theory for the development of creative productivity through the pursuit of ideal acts of learning. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 36,* 170-183.

Renzulli, J., & Reis, S. (2008). The SEM model. In J. Renzulli, E. J. Gubbins, K. S. McCillen, R. D. Eckert, & C. A. Little (Eds.), *Systems and models for talent development (2nd ed).* Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.

Rogers, K. B. (2002). *Re-forming gifted education: Matching the program to the child.* Scottsdale, AZ: Great Potential Press.

VanTassel-Baska, J. (2005). Acceleration strategies for teaching gifted learners. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Practice-based References

Betts, G. T., & Neihart, M. (1986). Implementing self-directed learning models for the gifted and talented. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 30,* 174-177.

Hughes, C. E., & Rollins, K. (2009). RtI for nurturing giftedness: Implications for the RtI school-based team. *Gifted Child Today, 32*(3), 31-39.

Siegle, D., & McCoach, D.G. (2005). Extending learning through mentorships. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.), *Methods and materials for teaching gifted* (2nd ed., pp. 473-518). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Zeidner, M., & Schleyer, E.J. (1999). Evaluating the effects of full-time vs. part-time educational programs for the gifted: Affective outcomes and policy considerations. *Evaluation and Program Planning, 22*, 413-427.

Preparation Standard 3 Curricular Content Knowledge

Beginning gifted education professionals use knowledge of general[1] and specialized curricula[2] to advance learning for individuals with gifts and talents.

---

[1]   As used "general curricula," means the academic content of the general curricula including math, reading, English/language arts, science, social studies, and the arts.

3.1	Beginning gifted education professionals understand the role of central concepts, structures of the discipline, and tools of inquiry of the content areas they teach, and use their understanding to organize knowledge, integrate cross-disciplinary skills, and develop meaningful learning progressions within and across grade levels.

Research-based References

Colangelo, N., Assouline, S. & Gross, M. (2004). *A nation deceived: How schools hold back America's brightest students (Vol. II).* Iowa City: University of Iowa, Belin - Blank International Center for Gifted Education and Talent Development.

Corazza, L., Gustin, W. & Edelkind, L. (1995). Serving young gifted math students. *Gifted Child Today*, *18*(3), 20-21; 24-25; 40-41.

Gallagher, S.A., & Stepien, W. (1996). Content acquisition in problem-based learning: Depth versus breadth in American studies. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19*, 257-275.

Gentry, M. (1999). Promoting student achievement and exemplary classroom practices through cluster grouping: A research-based alternative to heterogeneous elementary classrooms. Storrs: University of Connecticut, the National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.

Hannah, C. L., & Shore, B. M. (1995). Metacognition and high intellectual ability: Insights from the study of learning-disabled gifted students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 39*, 95-109.

Stamps, L. (2004). The effectiveness of curriculum compacting in first grade classrooms. *Roeper Review, 27,* 31-41.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Avery, L. D., Little, C., & Hughes, C. (2000). An evaluation of the implementation of curriculum innovation: The impact of the William and Mary units on schools. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 23*, 244-272.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Bass, G., Ries, R., Poland, D., & Avery, L. D. (1998). A national study of science curriculum effectiveness with high ability students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*, 200-211.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Johnson, D. T., Hughes, C. E., & Boyce, L. N. (1996). A study of language arts curriculum effectiveness with gifted learners. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19*, 461-480.

Theory-based References

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds). (2001). A Taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. New York, NY: Longman.

Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2002). Critical thinking: Tools for taking charge of your professional and personal life. New York, NY: Prentice Hall.

Rogers, K. B. (2002). *Re-forming gifted education: Matching the program to the child*. Scottsdale, AZ: Great Potential Press.

Rogers, K. B. (1991). *The relationship of grouping practices to the education of the gifted and talented learner*. Storrs: University of Connecticut, the National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.

Sheffield, L. (2003). Extending the Challenge in mathematics: Developing mathematical

---

2   As used, "specialized curricula" means the content of specialized interventions or sets of interventions including, but not limited to academic, strategic, communicative, social, emotional, self-guided, and independence curricula.

promise in K-8 students. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Steiner, H. H., & Carr, M. (2003). Cognitive development in gifted children: Toward a more precise understanding of emerging differences in intelligence. *Educational Psychology Review*, *15,* 215-246.

Stronge, J. (2002). *Qualities of effective teachers*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Tomlinson, C.A. (Ed). (2004). *Differentiation for gifted and talented students*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

VanTassel-Baska, J., & Little, C.A. (Eds). (2003). *Content based curriculum for gifted learners*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Practice-based References

Angelelli, C., Enright, K., & Valdés, G. (2002). Developing the talents and abilities of linguistically gifted bilingual students: Guidelines for developing curriculum at the high school level (RM02156). Storrs: University of Connecticut, the National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.

Carr, M., Alexander, J. M., & Schwanenflugel, P.J. (1996). Where gifted children do and do not excel on metacognitive tasks. *Roeper Review, 18*, Feb/Mar 212-217.

Coates, D. L., Perkins, T., Vietze, P., Reyes Cruz, M., & Park, S. (2003). *Teaching thinking to culturally diverse, high ability, high school students: A triarchic approach* (RM03174). Storrs: University of Connecticut, the National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.

Elder, L., & Paul, R. (2004). *The art of asking essential questions.* Dillon Beach, CA: The Foundation for Critical Thinking.

Elder, L., & Paul, R. (2004). *Guide to the human mind: How it learns, how it mislearns*. Dillon Beach, CA: The Foundation for Critical Thinking.

Elder, L., & Paul, R. (2003). *Analytic thinking: How to take thinking apart and what to look for when you do.* Dillon Beach, CA: The Foundation for Critical Thinking.

Renzulli, J. S., Leppien, J. H., & Hays, T.S. (2000). *The multiple menu model: A practical guide for developing differentiated curriculum.* Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.

Renzulli, J. S. & Reis, S. (2004). Curriculum compacting: A research-based differentiation strategy for culturally diverse talented students. In D. Boothe & J. C. Stanley (Eds.), *In the eyes of the beholder: Critical issues for diversity in gifted education. (pp. 87-100).* Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Tomlinson, C.A., & Cunningham-Eidson, C. (2003). D*ifferentiation in practice: A resource guide for differentiating curriculum, grades 5-9*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Tomlinson, C.A., & Cunningham-Eidson, C. (2003). D*ifferentiation in practice: A resource guide for differentiating curriculum, grades K-5*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Winebrenner, S. (2003). *Teaching gifted kids in the regular classroom* (2nd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: Free Spirit.

3.2	Beginning gifted education professionals design appropriate learning and performance modifications for individuals with gifts and talents that enhance creativity, acceleration, depth and complexity in academic subject matter and specialized domains.

Research-based References

Gallagher, S. A., & Stepien, W. (1996). Content acquisition in problem-based learning: Depth

versus breadth in American studies. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19*, 257-275.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Bass, G., Ries, R., Poland, D., & Avery, L.D. (1998). A national study of science curriculum effectiveness with high ability students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*, 200-211.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Johnson, D.T., Hughes, C. E., & Boyce, L.N. (1996). A study of language arts curriculum effectiveness with gifted learners. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19*, 461-480.

Theory-based References

Purcell, J.H., Burns, D. E., Tomlinson, C. A., Imbeau, M. B., & Martin, J. L*. (2002).* Bridging the gap: A tool and technique to analyze and evaluate gifted education curricular units. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *46*, 306-338.

Roberts, J. L., & Roberts, R.A. (2005). Writing units that remove the learning ceiling. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.), *Methods and materials for teaching the gifted* (pp. 179-210). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Tomlinson, C. (1995). Differentiating instruction for advanced learners in the mixed-ability middle school classroom. (ERIC Digest E536).

VanTassel-Baska, J., & Stambaugh, T. (2006). Curriculum design issues in developing a curriculum for the gifted. In *Comprehensive curriculum for gifted learners (3rd).* Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Practice-based References

Avery, L. D. & Zuo, L. (2003). Selecting resources and materials for high-ability learners. In J. VanTassel-Baska & C. A. Little (Eds.), *Content-based curriculum for high ability Learners* (pp. 259-278). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Riley, T.R. (2005). Teaching on a shoestring: Materials for teaching gifted and talented students. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.), *Methods and materials for teaching the gifted*. (2nd ed., pp. 657-700). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Tomlinson, C.A., & Cunningham-Eidson, C. (2003). D*ifferentiation in practice: A resource guide for differentiating curriculum, grades 5-9.* Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Tomlinson, C.A, & Cunningham-Eidson, C. (2003). D*ifferentiation in practice: A resource guide for differentiating curriculum, grades K-5.* Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Winebrenner, S. (2003). *Teaching gifted kids in the regular classroom* (2nd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: Free Spirit.

3.3	Beginning gifted education professionals use assessments to select, adapt, and create materials to differentiate instructional strategies and general and specialized curricula to challenge individuals with gifts and talents.

Research-based References

Ablard, K. E., Mills, C. J., & Duvall, R. (1994). *Acceleration of CTY math and science students* (Tech. Rep. No. 10). Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University, Center for Talented Youth.

Johnson, D. T., Boyce, L. N., & VanTassel-Baska, J. (1995). Science curriculum review: evaluating materials for high-ability learners. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *39,* 36-45.

Reis, S. M., Westberg, K. L., Kulikowich, J. M. & Purcell, J. H. (1998). Curriculum compacting

and achievement test scores: What does the research say? *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*, 123-129.

Swiatek, M. A. (1993). A decade of longitudinal research on academic acceleration through the study of mathematically precocious youth. *Roeper Review, 15*, 120-123.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Avery, L. D., Little, C., & Hughes, C. (2000). An evaluation of the implementation of curriculum innovation: The impact of the William and Mary units on schools. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 23*, 244-272.

Theory-based References

Johnsen, S. (2005). Within-class acceleration*, Gifted Child Today, 28(1),* 5.

Purcell, J. H., Burns, D. E., Tomlinson, C. A., Imbeau, M. B., & Martin, J. L. *(2002).* Bridging the gap: A tool and technique to analyze and evaluate gifted education curricular units. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *46*, 306-338.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed ability classrooms* (2nd ed.). Alexandria, VA: Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). Differentiated instruction in the regular classroom: What does it mean? How does it look? *Understanding Our Gifted, 14*(1), 3-6 SL.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2002). Different learners, different lessons. *Instructor, 112*(2), 21, 24-26

VanTassel-Baska, J. (2005). Acceleration strategies for teaching gifted learners. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

VanTassel-Baska, J. & Stambaugh, T. (2006). Curriculum development processes. In *Comprehensive curriculum for gifted learners (3rd).* Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Practice-based References

Avery, L. D. & Zuo, L. (2003). Selecting resources and materials for high-ability learners. In J. VanTassel-Baska & C. A. Little (Eds.). *Content-based curriculum for high ability learners* (pp.259-278). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Johnson, S. K; Haensly, P. A; Ryser, G. R &Ford, R. F. (2002). Changing general education classroom practices to adapt for gifted students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 46*, 45-63.

Reis, S.M., Burns, D.E., & Renzulli, J.S. (1992). Curriculum compacting: The complete guide to modifying the regular curriculum for high ability students. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.

Riley, T.R. (2005). Teaching on a shoestring: Materials for teaching gifted and talented students. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.) *Methods and materials for teaching the gifted*. (2nd ed., pp. 657-700).Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Tomlinson, C. A. & Reis, S. (Ed). (2004). *Differentiation for gifted and talented students.* Thousand Oaks, CA, US: Corwin Press, Inc.

Winebrenner, S. (2003). *Teaching gifted kids in the regular classroom* (2nd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: Free Spirit.

3.4	Beginning gifted educators understand that individuals with gifts and talents demonstrate a wide range of advanced knowledge and performance levels and modify the general or specialized curriculum appropriately.

Research-based References

Friedman, R. C, & Lee, S. W. (1996). Differentiating instruction for high-achieving/gifted children in regular classrooms: A field test of three gifted-education models. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19(4)*, 405-436.

Reis, S. M., Westberg, K.L., Kulikowich, J. M. & Purcell, J. H. (1998). Curriculum compacting and achievement test scores: What does the research say? *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*,

123-129.
Swiatek, M. A. (1993). A decade of longitudinal research on academic acceleration through the study of mathematically precocious youth. *Roeper Review, 15*, 120-123.

Theory-based References

Gilman, B. (2004). *Empowering gifted minds: Educational advocacy that works.* Phoenix, AZ: Deleon Publishing.

Maker, C. J., & Nielson, A. G. (1996). *Curriculum development and teaching strategies for gifted learners* (*2nd ed.*). Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.

Renzulli, J. S., Leppien, J. H., & Hays, T. S. (2000). *The multiple menu model: A practical guide for developing differentiated curriculum.* Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.

Renzulli, J. S., & Reis, S. M. (2003). The schoolwide enrichment model: Developing creative and productive giftedness. In N. Colangelo, & G.A. Davis (Eds.), *Handbook of gifted education (3rd ed.,* pp.184-203*).* Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Rogers, K. (2002). *Re-forming gifted education: Matching the program to the child.* Scottsdale, AZ: Great Potential Press.

Southern, T., & Jones, E. (Eds.). (1991). *The academic acceleration of gifted children*, New York, NY: Teachers' College Press.

Practice-based References

Reis, S. M., Burns, D. E., & Renzulli, J. S. (1992). *Curriculum compacting: The complete guide to modifying the regular curriculum for high ability students.* Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.

Rogers, K. (2002). *Re-forming gifted education: Matching the program to the child.* Scottsdale, AZ: Great Potential Press.

VanTassel-Baska, J. (2005). *Acceleration strategies for teaching gifted learners.* Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

VanTassel-Baska (2004). Curricular diversity and the gifted. In D. Boothe, & J.C. Stanley, (Eds.), *In the eyes of the beholder: Critical issues for diversity in gifted education* (pp. 167-178). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Winebrenner, S. (2003). *Teaching gifted kids in the regular classroom* (2nd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: Free Spirit.

Preparation Standard 4 Assessment

Beginning gifted education professionals use multiple methods of assessment and data sources in making educational decisions.

4.1	Beginning gifted education professionals understand that some groups of individuals with gifts and talents have been underrepresented in gifted education programs and select and use technically sound formal and informal assessments that minimize bias.

Research-based References

Briggs, C. J., Reis, S. M., & Sullivan, E. E. (2008). A national view of promising programs and practicesfor culturally, linguistically, and ethnically diverse gifted and talented students. *Gifted Child* Quarterly, 52, 131-145.

Cunningham, C. M., Callahan, C. M., Plucker, J. A., Roberson, S. C., & Rapkin, A. (1998). Identifying Hispanic students of outstanding talent: Psychometric integrity of a peer

nomination form. *Exceptional Children, 64*(2), 197-209.

Masten, W., & Plata, M. (2000). Acculturation and teacher ratings of Hispanic and Anglo-American students. *Roeper Review, 23*, 45-46.

Tomlinson, C. A., Callahan, C. M., & Lelli, K. M. (1997). Challenging expectations: Case studies of high-potential, culturally diverse young children. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 41*, 5-17.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Johnson, D., & Avery, L. D. (2002). Using performance tasks in the identification of economically disadvantaged and minority gifted learners: Findings from Project STAR. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 46*, 110-123.

Theory-based References

Ford, D. Y. (2004). *Intelligence testing and cultural diversity: Concerns, cautions, and considerations* (RM04204). Storrs: University of Connecticut, the National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.

Ford, D. Y., & Trotman, M. F. (2000). The office for civil rights and non-discriminatory testing, policies,and procedures: Implications for gifted education. *Roeper Review, 23*, 109-112.

Joseph, L., & Ford, D. Y. (2006). Nondiscriminatory assessment: Considerations for gifted education. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 50*, 42-51.

Naglieri, J. A., & Kaufman, J. C. (2001). Understanding intelligence, giftedness and creativity using the PASS theory. *Roeper Review, 23*, 151-156.

Ryser, G. R. (2011). Fairness in testing and nonbiased assessment. In S. K. Johnsen (Ed.), *Identifying gifted students: A practical guide (2nd ed.,* pp. 63-72*)*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Sternberg, R. J., Grigorenko, E. L., & Ferrari, M. (2004). *Giftedness and expertise* (RM04198). Storrs: University of Connecticut, the National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.

Practice-based References

Castellano, J. A. (2002). Renavigating the waters: The identification and assessment of culturally and linguistically diverse students for gifted and talented education. In J. A. Castellano & E. I. Diaz (Eds.), *Reaching new horizons: Gifted and talented education for culturally and linguistically diverse students* (pp. 94-116). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Cline, S. (1999). *Suggestions for screening entering kindergarten students to assist in the identification of possibly gifted children*. Solvay, NY: Advocacy for Gifted and Talented Education in New York State. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 440489).

Hertzog, N. B. (2005). Equity and access: Creating general education classrooms responsive to potential giftedness. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 29*, 213-257.

McKenzie, J. A. (1986). The influence of identification practices, race and SES on the identification of gifted students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 30*, 93-95.

Passow, A. H., Frasier, M. M. (1996). Toward improving identification of talent potential among minority and disadvantaged students. *Roeper Review, 18*, 198-202.

Rizza, M. G., & Morrison, W. F. (2003). Uncovering stereotypes and identifying characteristics of gifted students and students with emotional/behavioral disabilities. *Roeper Review, 25*, 73-77.

4.2	Beginning gifted education professionals use knowledge of measurement principles and practices to differentiate assessments and interpret results to guide educational decisions for individuals with gifts and talents.

Research-based References
McKenna, M. A., Hollingsworth, P. L., & Barnes, L. L. B. (2005). Developing latent mathematics abilities in economically disadvantaged students. *Roeper Review, 27*, 222-227. Mills, C.J., Stork, E.J., & Krug, D. (1992). Recognition and development of academic talent in educationally disadvantaged students. *Exceptionality, 3*, 165-180. Swiatek, M. A. (1993). A decade of longitudinal research on academic acceleration through the study of mathematically precocious youth. *Roeper Review, 15*, 120-123. Williams, E. R., & Baber, C. R. (2007). Building trust through culturally reciprocal home-school-community collaboration from the perspective of African-American parents. *Multicultural Perspectives, 9*(2), 3-9.

Theory-based References
Feldhusen, J. F. (1996). Is it acceleration or simply appropriate instruction for precocious youth? *TEACHING Exceptional Children, 28*, 48-51. Heritage, M. (2007). Formative assessment: What do teachers need to know and do? *Phi Delta Kappan, 89*, 140-145. Rogers, K. (2002). *Re-forming gifted education: Matching the program to the child*. Scottsdale, AZ: Great Potential Press.

Practice-based References
Gentry, M., & Ferriss, S. (1999). StATS: A model of collaboration to develop science talent among rural students. *Roeper Review, 21*, 316-320. Johnsen, S. K. (2008). Using portfolios to assess gifted and talented students. In J. VanTassel-Baska (Ed.), Alternative assessments with gifted and talented students (pp. 227-257). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press. Kanevsky, L. (2000). Dynamic assessment of gifted learners. In K. A. Heller, F. J. Mönks, R. J. Sternberg, & R. F. Subotnik (Eds.), *International handbook of giftedness and talent* (2nd ed., pp. 283-295). New York, NY: Pergamon. Mendaglio, S., & Pyryt, M. C. (1995). Self-concept of gifted students: Assessment-based intervention. *TEACHING Exceptional Children, 27*(3), 40-45. Reis, S. M., Burns, D. E., & Renzulli, J. S. (1992). *Curriculum compacting: The complete guide to modifying the regular curriculum for high ability students*. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.

4.3	Beginning gifted education professionals collaborate with colleagues and families in using multiple types of assessment information to make identification and learning progress decisions and to minimize bias in assessment and decision-making

Research-based References
Cunningham, C. M., Callahan, C. M., Plucker, J. A., Roberson, S. C., & Rapkin, A. (1998). Identifying Hispanic students of outstanding talent: Psychometric integrity of a peer nomination form. *Exceptional Children, 64*, 197-209. Gentry, M., & Owen, S. V. (1999). An investigation of the effects of total school flexible cluster grouping on identification, achievement, and classroom practices. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 43*, 224-243. Hertzog, N. B. (2005). Equity and access: Creating general education classrooms responsive

to potential giftedness. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 29*, 213-257.

Pletan, M. D., Robinson, N. M., Berninger, V. W., & Abbot, R. D. (1995). Parents' observations of kindergartners who are advanced in mathematical reasoning. *Journal for the Education of the* Gifted, 19, 30-44.

Scroth, S. T., & Helfer, J. A. (2008). Identifying gifted students: Educator beliefs regarding various policies, processes, and procedures. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 32*, 155-179.

Swiatek, M. A., & Lupkowski-Shoplik, A. (2005). An evaluation of the elementary student talent search by families and schools. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 49*, 247-259.

Theory-based References

Landrum, M. S. (2002). Resource consultation and collaboration in gifted education. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.

Matthews, D. J., & Foster, J. F. (2005). A dynamic scaffolding model of teacher development: The gifted education consultant as catalyst for change. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 49,* 222-230.

Practice-based References

Johnsen, S. K. (2011). Making decisions about placement. In S. K. Johnsen (Ed.), *Identifying gifted students: A practical guide* (2nd ed., pp. 119-150). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Kingore, B. (1995). Introducing parents to portfolio assessment: A collaborative effort toward authentic assessment. *Gifted Child Today, 18*(4), 12-13, 40.

Stephens, K. R. (1999). Parents of the gifted and talented: The forgotten partner. *Gifted Child Today, 22*(5), 38-43, 52.

4.4	Beginning gifted education professionals use assessment results to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives that take into consideration an individual's abilities and needs, the learning environment, and other factors related to diversity.

Research-based References

Little, C., Feng, A., VanTassel-Baska, J., Rogers, K., & Avery, L. (2007). A study of curriculum effectiveness in social studies. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 51*, 272-284.

Reis, S. M., Westberg, K. L., Kulikowich, J. M., & Purcell, J. H. (1998). Curriculum compacting and achievement test scores: What does the research say? *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*, 123-129.

Stormont, M., Stebbins, M. S., & Holliday, G. (2001). Characteristics and educational support needs of underrepresented gifted adolescents. *Psychology in the Schools, 38*, 413-423.

Uresti, R., Goertz, J., & Bernal, E. M. (2002). Maximizing achievement for potentially gifted and talented and regular minority students in a primary classroom. *Roeper Review, 25*, 27-31.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Bass, G., Ries, R., Poland, D., & Avery, L. (1998). A national study of science curriculum effectiveness with high ability students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*, 200-211.

VanTassel-Baska, J., & Brown, E. F. (2007). An analysis of the efficacy of curriculum models in gifted education. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 51*, 342-358.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Johnson, D. T., Hughes, C. E., & Boyce, L. N. (1996). A study of language arts curriculum effectiveness with gifted learners. *Journal for the Education of*

the Gifted, 19, 461-480.

Theory-based References

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A Taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York, NY: Longman.

Callahan, C. M. (2005). Making the grade or achieving the goal? Evaluating learner and program outcomes in gifted education. In F. A. Karnes & S. M. Bean (Eds.), *Methods and materials for teaching the gifted* (2nd ed., pp. 211-246). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Ogbu, J. U. (1994). Understanding cultural diversity and learning. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted,* 17, 355-383.

Shade, B. J., Kelly, C., & Oberg, M. (1997). *Creating culturally responsive classrooms.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Stiggins, R. (2008). Assessment manifesto: A call for the development of balanced assessment systems. Portland, OR: ETS Assessment Training Institute.

Practice-based References

Kirschenbaum, R. J. (1998). Dynamic assessment and its use with underserved gifted and talented populations. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*, 140-147.

Kitano, M. K., & Pedersen, K. S. (2002). Action research and practical inquiry: Multicultural-content integration in gifted education: Lessons from the field. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 26*, 269-289.

Nugent, S. A. (2005). Affective education: Addressing the social and emotional needs of gifted students in the classroom. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.), *Methods and materials for teaching the gifted* (2nd ed., pp. 409-438). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Rollins, K., Mursky, C., Shah-Coltrane, S., & Johnsen, S. K. (2009). RtI models for gifted students. *Gifted Child Today, 32*(1), 20-30.

| 4.5 | Beginning gifted education professionals engage individuals with gifts and talents in assessing the quality of their own learning and performance and in setting future goals and objectives. |

Research-based References

Bain, S., & Bell, S. (2004). Social self-concept, social-attributions, and peer relationships in fourth, fifth and sixth graders who are gifted compared to high achievers. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 48*, 167-178.

Frey, C. P. (1998). Struggling with identity: Working with seventh-and eighth-grade gifted girls to air issues of concern. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 21*, 437-451.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Olszewski-Kubilius, P., & Kulieke, M. (1994). A study of self-concept and social support in advantaged and disadvantaged seventh and eighth grade gifted students. *Roeper Review, 16*, 186-191.

Theory-based References

Greenspon, T. S. (1998). The gifted self: Its role in development and emotional health. *Roeper Review, 20*, 162-166.

Stiggins, R., Arter, J., Chappuis, J., & Chappuis, S. (2006). *Classroom assessment for student learning: Doing it right–using it well.* Portland, OR: ETS Assessment Training Institute.

VanTassel-Baska, J. (Ed.). (2008). *Alternative assessments with gifted and talented* student.

Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Practice-based References
Betts, G. T., & Neihart, M. (1986). Implementing self-directed learning models for the gifted and talented. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 30*, 174-177. Chappuis, S., & Chappuis, J. (2007/2008). The best value in formative assessment. *Educational* Leadership, 65(4), 14-19. Ford, D., Tyson, C., Howard, T., & Harris, J. J. (2000). Multicultural literature and gifted black students: Promoting self-understanding, awareness, and pride. *Roeper Review, 22*, 235-240. Heritage, M. (2007). Formative assessment: What do teachers need to know and do? *Phi Delta Kappan, 89*, 140-145. Johnsen, S. K. (2008). Using portfolios to assess gifted and talented students. In J. VanTassel-Baska (Ed.), Alternative assessments with gifted and talented students (pp. 227-257). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Preparation Standard 5 Instructional Planning & Strategies

Beginning gifted education professionals select, adapt, and use a repertoire of evidence-based instructional strategies[3] to advance the learning of individuals with gifts and talents.

5.1	Beginning gifted education professionals know principles of evidence-based, differentiated, and accelerated practices and possess a repertoire of instructional strategies to enhance the learning of critical and creative thinking, problem-solving, and performance skills of individuals with gifts and talents.

Research-based References
Betts, G. T., & Neihart, M. (1986). Implementing self-directed learning models for the gifted and talented. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 30*, 174-177. Carr, M., Alexander, J. M., & Schwanenflugel, P. J. (1996). Where gifted children do and do not excel on metacognitive tasks. *Roeper Review, 18,* 212-217. Friedman, R. C., & Lee, S. W. (1996). Differentiating instruction for high-achieving/gifted children in regular classrooms: A field test of three gifted-education models. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19*, 405-436. Gallagher, S. A., & Stepien, W. (1996). Content acquisition in problem-based learning: Depth versus breadth in American studies. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 19*, 257-275. Gross, M. U. M. (1992). The use of radical acceleration in cases of extreme intellectual precocity. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 36*, 91-99. Reis, S. M., Westberg, K. L., Kulikowich, J. M., & Purcell, J. H. (1998). Curriculum compacting and achievement test scores: What does the research say? *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*, 123-129. Russo, C. F. (2004). A comparative study of creativity and cognitive problem-solving strategies of high IQ and average students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 48*, 179-190. Stamps, L. (2004). The effectiveness of curriculum compacting in first grade classrooms. *Roeper Review, 27*, 31-41.

---

[3] Instructional strategies, as used throughout this document include intervention used in academic and specialized curricula.

VanTassel-Baska, J., Avery, L. D., Little, C., & Hughes, C. (2000). An evaluation of the implementation of curriculum innovation: The impact of the William and Mary units on schools. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 23*, 24-272.

Theory-based References

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A Taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York, NY: Longman.

Davis, G. A., & Rimm, S. B., & Siegle, D. (2010). *Education of the gifted and talented (6th ed).* Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Elder, L., & Paul, R. (2004). *The art of asking essential questions*. Dillon Beach, CA: The Foundation for Critical Thinking.

Hartman, H. J. (Ed.). (2001). *Metacognition in learning and instruction: Theory, research and practice*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Sternberg, R. J. (2000). Giftedness as developing expertise. In K. A. Heller, F. J. Mönks, R. J. Sternberg, & R. F. Subotnik (Eds.), *International handbook of giftedness and talent* (pp. 55-66). New York, NY: Elsevier.

VanTassel-Baska, J. (2004). *Curriculum for gifted and talented students*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Practice-based References

Little, C., Feng, A., VanTassel-Baska, J., Rogers, K., & Avery, L. (2007). A study of curriculum effectiveness in social studies. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 51*, 272-284.

VanTassel-Baska, J., & Brown, E. F. (2007). An analysis of the efficacy of curriculum models in gifted education. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 51*, 342-358.

5.2	Beginning gifted education professionals apply appropriate technologies to support instructional assessment, planning, and delivery for individuals with gifts and talents

Research-based References

Davalos, R., & Griffin, G. (1999). The impact of teachers' individualized practices on gifted students in rural, heterogeneous classrooms. *Roeper Review, 21*, 308-314.

Ravaglia, R., Suppes, P., Stillinger, C., & Alper, T. M. (1995). Computer-based mathematics and physics for gifted students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 39*, 7-13

Theory-based References

Berger, S. (2003). Technology and gifted learners. In W. A. Owings. & L. S. Kaplan (Eds.), *Best practices, best thinking, and emerging issues in school leadership* (pp. 177-190). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Siegle, D. (2004). *Using media and technology with gifted learners*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Practice-based References

Pyryt, M. C. (2003). Technology and the gifted. In N. Colangelo & G. A. Davis (Eds.), *Handbook of Gifted Education* (3rd ed., pp. 582-589). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

VanTassel-Baska, J., & Stambaugh, T. (2006c). Using technology to supplement gifted curriculum. In J. VanTassel-Baska & T. Stambaugh (Eds.), *Comprehensive curriculum for gifted learners* (3rd ed., pp. 290-308). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

5.3	Beginning gifted education professionals collaborate with families, professional colleagues, and other educators to select, adapt, and use evidence-based strategies that promote challenging learning opportunities in general and specialized curricula.

Research-based References
Matthews, D., & Menna, R. (2003). Solving problems together: Parent/school/community collaboration at a time of educational and social change. *Education Canada, 43*(1), 20-23.
Tomlinson, C. A., Coleman, M. R., Allan, S., Udall, A., & Landrum, M. (1996). Interface between gifted education and general education: Toward communication, cooperation, and collaboration. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 40*, 165-171.

Theory-based References
Kaplan, S. N. (2005). Layering differentiated curricula for the gifted and talented. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.). *Methods and materials for teaching the gifted* (2nd ed., pp. 107-136). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.
Karnes, F., & Bean, S. (Eds.). (2005) *Methods and materials for teaching the gifted* (2nd ed.). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.
Landrum, M. S. (2002). *Resource consultation in gifted education: Teachers working together to serve students.* Mansfield, CT: Creative Learning.
Treffinger, D. J., Isaksen, S. G., & Stead-Dorval, K. B. (2006). *Creative problem solving: An introduction* (4th ed.). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.
VanTassel-Baska, J. (2004). *Curriculum for gifted and talented students.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Practice-based References
Gentry, M., & Ferriss, S. (1999). StATS: A model of collaboration to develop science talent among rural students. *Roeper Review, 21*, 316-320.
Purcell, J. H., & Leppien, J. H. (1998). Building bridges between general practitioners and educators of the gifted: A study of collaboration. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42,* 172-181.

5.4	Beginning gifted education professionals emphasize the development, practice, and transfer of advanced knowledge and skills across environments throughout the lifespan leading to creative, productive careers in a multicultural society for individuals with gifts and talents.

Research-based References
Hébert, T. P. (1993). Reflections at graduation: The long-term impact of elementary school experiences in creative productivity. *Roeper Review, 16,* 22-28.
Kerr, B., & Sodano, S. (2003). Career assessment with intellectually gifted students. *Journal of Career Assessment, 11*, 168-186.
Wessel, L. E. (1999). Career counseling for gifted students: Literature review and critique. (ERIC Document Reproduction Services No. ED427267).

Theory-based References
Berger, S. (1989). *College planning for gifted students.* Reston, VA: The Council for

Exceptional Children.

Renzulli, J. (1992) A general theory for the development of creative productivity through the pursuit of ideal acts of learning. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 36*, 170-183.

Renzulli, J. S., & Reis, S. M. (2003). The schoolwide enrichment model: Developing creative and productive giftedness. In N. Colangelo & G. A. Simonton, D. K. (1999). Genius, creativity, and leadership: Historiometric inquiries. Bloomington, IN: University of Indiana.

Su, Ya-Hui (2009). Idea creation: The need to develop creativity in lifelong learning practices. *International Journal of Lifelong Education, 28*, 705-717.

Practice-based References
Hébert, T. P. (1993). Reflections at graduation: The long-term impact of elementary school experiences in creative productivity. *Roeper Review*, *16,* 22-28.

5.5	Beginning gifted education professionals use instructional strategies that enhance the affective development of individuals with gifts and talents.

Research-based References
Cornell, D. G., Delcourt, M. A. B., Goldberg, M. D., & Bland, L. C. (1995). Achievement and self-concept of minority students in elementary school gifted programs. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 18*, 189-209.

Gentry, M., & Owen, S. V. (1999). An investigation of the effects of total school flexible cluster grouping on identification, achievement, and classroom practices. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 43*, 224-243. |

Theory-based References
Dai, D. Y., Moon, S. M., & Feldhusen, J. F. (1998). Achievement motivation and gifted students: A social cognitive perspective. *Educational Psychologist, 33*(2/3), 45-63.

Practice-based References
Betts, G. T., & Neihart, M. (1986). Implementing self-directed learning models for the gifted and talented. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 30*, 174-177.

Preparation Standard 6 Professional Learning & Ethical Practice

Beginning gifted education professionals use foundational knowledge of the field and professional ethical principles and Program Standards to inform gifted education practice, to engage in lifelong learning, and to advance the profession.

6.1	Beginning gifted education professionals use professional ethical principles and specialized program standards to guide their practice

Research-based References
Klein, J. P., & Lugg, E. T. (2002). Nurturing young adolescents legally and ethically. *Middle School Journal, 34*(1), 13-20.

Theory-based References

Copenhaver, J. (2002). Primer for maintaining accurate special education records and meeting confidentiality requirements when serving children with disabilities--Family Educational Rights *and Privacy Act (FERPA).* Logan: Utah State University, Mountain Plains Regional Resource Center.

Kitano, M., Montgomery, D., VanTassel-Baska, J., & Johnsen, S. (2008). *Using the national gifted education standards for PreK-12 professional development.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin

Morehead, M. A. (1998). Professional behaviors for the beginning teacher. *American Secondary Education, 26*(4), 22-26.

Practice-based References
Callahan, C., Cooper, C., & Glascock, R. (2003). *Preparing teachers to develop and enhance talent: The position of national education organizations*. (ERIC Document Services No. ED477882).   Johnsen, S. K. (Ed.). (2012). *Gifted education programming standards: A guide to planning and implementing high-quality services.* Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

6.2	Beginning gifted education professionals understand how foundational knowledge, perspectives, and historical and current issues influence professional practice and the education and treatment of individuals with gifts and talents in both school and society.

Research-based References
Bain, S., Bourgeois, S., & Pappas, D. (2003). Linking theoretical models to actual practices: A survey of teachers in gifted education. *Roeper Review, 25*, 166-172.   Plucker, J. A., & Callahan, C. M. (Eds.). (2008). *Critical issues and practices in gifted education: What the research says.* Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Theory-based References
Clarke, D., & Hollingworth, H. (2002). Elaborating a model of teacher professional growth. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 18*, 947-967.   Joyce, B. R., & Showers, B. (2002). *Student achievement through staff development* (3rd ed.). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Practice-based References
Clark, C. M. (Ed.). (2001). *Talking shop: Authentic conversations and teacher learning*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.   Johnsen, S. K. (Ed.). (2012). *Gifted education programming standards: A guide to planning and implementing high-quality services.* Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

6.3	Beginning gifted education professionals model respect for diversity, understanding that it is an integral part of society's institutions and impacts learning of individuals with gifts and talents in the delivery of gifted education services.

Research-based References
Gubbins, E. J., Westberg, K. L., Reis, S. M., Dinnocenti, S. T., Tieso, C. L., & Muller, L. M., et al. (2002). *Implementing a professional development model using gifted education strategies with all students.* (Report RM02172). Storrs: University of Connecticut, the National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented. Masten, W. G., & Plata, M. (2000). Acculturation and teacher ratings of Hispanic and Anglo-American students. *Roeper Review, 23*, 45-46.

Theory-based References
Boothe, D., & Stanley, J. C. (Eds.). (2004). *In the eyes of the beholder: Critical issues for diversity in gifted education.* Waco, TX: Prufrock Press. Gallagher, J. (2002). *Society's role in educating gifted students: The role of public policy*. Senior Scholars Series. (Report RM02162). Storrs: University of Connecticut, the National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.

Practice-based References
Bernal, E. M. (2000). The quintessential features of gifted education as seen from a multicultural perspective. In G. B. Esquivel & J. C. Houtz (Eds.), *Creativity and giftedness in culturally diverse students* (pp. 159-191). Cresskill, NJ: Harrington Press. Ford, D. Y., & Trotman, M. F. (2001). Teachers of gifted students: Suggested multicultural characteristics and competencies. *Roeper Review, 23*, 235-239.

6.4	Beginning gifted education professionals are aware of their own professional learning needs, understand the significance of lifelong learning, and participate in professional activities and learning communities

Research-based References
Garet, M. S., Porter, A. C., Desimone, L., Birman, B. F., & Yoon, K. S. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. *American Educational Research Journal, 38*(4), 915-945. Gubbins, E. J., Westberg, K. L., Reis, S. M., Dinnocenti, S. T., Tieso, C. L., & Muller, L. M., et al. (2002). *Implementing a professional development model using gifted education strategies with all students* (Report RM02172). Storrs: University of Connecticut, National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented. Han, K. S., & Marvin, C. (2000). A five-year follow-up study of the Nebraska Project: Still a long way to go... Roeper Review, 23, 25-33. Hanninen, G. E. (1988). A study of teacher training in gifted education. *Roeper Review, 10*, 139-144. Higgins, T. E. (2006, April). Pressures to participate: Factors influencing teachers' involvement in ongoing professional development programs. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA. Johnsen, S. K., Haensly, P. A., Ryser, G. R., & Ford, R. F. (2002). Changing general education classroom practices to adapt for gifted students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 46*, 45-63.

Theory-based References
Ancess, J. (2001). Teacher learning at the intersection of school learning and student outcomes. In A. Lieberman & L. Miller (Eds.), Teachers caught in the action: Professional development that *matters* (pp. 61-78). New York, NY: Teachers College

Press.

Guskey, T. R. (2000). *Evaluating professional development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Lave, J. (1991). Situated learning in communities of practice. In L. B. Resnick, J. M. Levine, & S. D. Teasley (Eds.), *Perspectives on socially shared cognition* (pp. 63-82). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Practice-based References

Barab, S. A., Kling, R., & Gray, J. H. (Eds.). (2004). *Designing virtual communities in the service of learning*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Dettmer, P., & Landrum, M. (Eds.). (1998). *Staff development: The key to effective gifted education program*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Falk, J. K., & Drayton, B. (Eds.) (2009). *Creating and sustaining online professional learning communities.* New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

6.5	Beginning gifted education professionals advance the profession by engaging in activities such as advocacy and mentoring

Research-based References

Grantham, T. C. (2003). Increasing Black student enrollment in gifted programs: An exploration of the Pulaski County special school district's advocacy efforts. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 47*, 46-65.

Hébert, T. P., & Neumeister, K. L. S. (2000). University mentors in the elementary classroom: Supporting the intellectual, motivational, and emotional needs of high-ability students. *Journal for the* Education of the Gifted, 24, 122-148.

Theory-based References

Brody, L. (1999). The talent searches: Counseling and mentoring activities. In N. Colangelo & S. Assouline (Eds.), *Talent development III, Proceedings from The 1995 Henry B. and Jocelyn Wallace national research symposium on talent development* (pp.153-157). Scottsdale, AZ: Great Potential Press.

Feng, A. (2006). Developing personalized learning experiences: Mentoring for talent development. In J. VanTassel-Baska (Ed.), *Serving gifted learners beyond the traditional classroom* (pp.189-212). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Hertzog, N. (2003). Advocacy: on the cutting edge. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 47*, 66-81.

Torrance, E. P. (1984). *Mentor relationships: How they aid creative achievement, endure, change and die.* Buffalo, NY: Bearly Limited

Practice-based References

Grybe, D. (1997). Mentoring the gifted and talented. *Preventing School Failure, 41*, 115.

Siegle, D., & McCoach, D. G. (2005). Extending learning through mentorships. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.), *Methods and materials for teaching gifted* (2nd ed., pp. 473-518). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.

Troxclair, D., & Karnes, F. (1997). Public relations: Advocating for gifted students. *Gifted Child Today, 20*(3), 38-41, 50.

Preparation Standard 7 Collaboration

Beginning gifted education professionals collaborate with families, other educators, related-service providers, individuals with gifts and talents, and personnel from community agencies in culturally responsive ways to address the needs of individuals with gifts and talents across a range of learning experiences.

7.1	Beginning gifted education professionals apply elements of effective collaboration.

Research-based References
Matthews, D., & Menna, R. (2003). Solving problems together: Parent/school/community collaboration at a time of educational and social change. *Education Canada, 43*(1), 20-23.

Theory-based References
Landrum, M. S. (2002). *Resource consultation in gifted education: Teachers working together to serve students.* Mansfield, CT: Creative Learning.

Practice-based References
Gentry, M., & Ferriss, S. (1999). StATS: A model of collaboration to develop science talent among rural students. *Roeper Review, 21*, 316-320.

7.2	Beginning gifted education professionals serve as a collaborative resource with colleagues.

Research-based References
Tomlinson, C. A., Coleman, M. R., Allan, S., Udall, A., & Landrum, M. (1996). Interface between gifted education and general education: Toward communication, cooperation, and collaboration. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 40*, 165-171.

Theory-based References
Landrum, M. S. (2002). *Resource consultation in gifted education: Teachers working together to serve students.* Mansfield, CT: Creative Learning Press.

Practice-based References
Purcell, J. H., & Leppien, J. H. (1998). Building bridges between general practitioners and educators of the gifted: A study of collaboration. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 42*(3), 172-181.

7.3	Beginning gifted education professionals use collaboration to promote the well-being of individuals with gifts and talents across a wide range of settings and collaborators.

Research-based References
Williams, E. R., & Baber, C. R. (2007). Building trust through culturally reciprocal home-school-community collaboration from the perspective of African-American parents. *Multicultural Perspectives, 9*(2), 3-9.

Theory-based References
Landrum, M. S. (2002). *Resource consultation in gifted education: Teachers working together to serve students*. Mansfield, CT: Creative Learning.

Practice-based References
Kingore, B. (1995). Introducing parents to portfolio assessment: A collaborative effort toward authentic assessment. *Gifted Child Today*, *18*(4), 12-13, 40. Stephens, K. R. (1999). Parents of the gifted and talented: The forgotten partner. *Gifted Child Today, 22*(5), 38-43, 52.